  -	Applicant

Interv	iew	Sum	marv
--------	-----	-----	------

Application No. Applicant(s)

N. Nguyen

09/197,499

Shimazu et al

Examiner

Group Art Unit

1754

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) N. Nguyen	(3)			
(2) Mr. Garth Dahlen	(4)			
Date of Interview Oct 31, 2000				
Type:   Telephonic   Personal (copy is given to	o $\square$ applicant $oxtimes$ applicant's representative).			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	s 🛛 No. If yes, brief description:			
Agreement				
Claim(s) discussed: all in general				
Identification of prior art discussed:  all applied references				
Discussed the rejections. Both the preferred emboding the rejections. Declaration to show that there is a discussed the rejections.	I to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  ment and the prior art sections of Schuetz '694 are relied upon for ifference between the claimed product and the product of the application.			
the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable			
1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s				
LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST I	cate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP on has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH IT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.			
	ove (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to ments that may be present in the last Office action, and since the			

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

N. NOUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1754

is also checked.

claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above